[27th November 1952

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER:—" (*a*) Yes.

" (*b*) The Government have examined the representations made and instructed the District Collectors and the Managers of Estates to take appropriate action under the Madras Estates Communal, Forest and Private Lands (Prohibition of Alienation) Act, 1947 and the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, respectively."

SRI G. LATCHANNA :—" Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to mention any one instance in which action was taken in this respect?"

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" I think some prosecutions also have been ordered."

SRI C. RAJAM RAMASAMI :—" Is the Hon. Minister aware that the *Zamindar* of Adyar is claiming proprietary rights over the Adyar river and is trying to prevent the dhobies from making use of the water in the Adyar river?"

The Manneru Project in Kandukur taluk, Nellore.

* 196 *Q.*—SRI K. KRISHNA RAO: Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(*a*) when the Manneru Project in Kandukur taluk, Nellore district, was taken up for investigation; and

(*b*) when plans and estimates were prepared and at what stage the matter stands at present?

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI :—" (*a*) & (*b*) The following three irrigation schemes on the Manneru in Kandukur taluk, Nellore district, have already been sanctioned by the Government :—

(i) Reddicalva Anicut Scheme;
(ii) Rallapad Reservoir Project; and
(iii) Scheme for improvement of supplies to Karedu, Gokula and Zammula tanks.

All the above three schemes are under execution. There is at present no scheme under investigation on the Manneru and there is also no scheme on the Manneru ready for sanction and execution, the scheme having been fully investigated already."

SRI K. KRISHNA RAO :—" May I know from the Hon. Minister at what stage these schemes stand after they have been sanctioned?"

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI :—" As I said, all these three schemes have been investigated and they are already under execution."

27th November 1952]

SRI T. NAGI REDDI :—" పని ఎంతవరకు కొనసాగించారో తెలియ చేయండి. "

THE HON. SRI N. RANGA REDDI :—" A separate question may be put."

Hydrogenation factory, Kozhikode.

* 197 Q.—SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state whether there is any proposal to hand over the Hydrogenation factory at Kozhikode, Malabar, to Lever Brothers?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" No, Sir."

SRI K. P. GOPALAN :—" May I know whether it is a fact that such a proposal emanated from a Minister of the Government of India connected with the Lever Brothers and will the Government give an assurance that they will not allow this factory to be acquired by foreign interests? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" I am not aware of any Minister of the Government of India connected with the Lever Brothers."

SRI T. C. NARAYAÑA NAMBIAR :—" In view of the fact that there was a proposal to give up the Hydrogenation factory at Kozhikode, Malabar district, that this matter was discussed at a Cabinet meeting and that a Sub-Committee was formed to go and investigate it, and that the Members of the Sub-Committee visited Kozhikode and received memoranda and representations from the various organizations, will the Government be pleased to state at what stage the proposal stands? "

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO :—" The Government propose to run it themselves."

Allegations against the Police authorities in Karur.

* 198 Q.—SRI P. RANGASWAMI REDDIAR : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government have perused the observations made by the Hon. Judge of the High Court in the judgment, dated 29th May 1952, in a criminal revision petition, about the Police at Karur, in getting an order passed under section 144, Criminal Procedure Code, prohibiting M. R. Radha and others from staging any drama or play in Karur Municipal area for two months from 26th March 1952; and

(b) if so, what action the Government propose to take thereon?

THE HON. SRI C. RAJAGOPALACHARI :—" The Government have perused the observations made by the Hon. Judge of the High Court in his judgment, dated the 29th May 1952.